## About an exercise on modular multiplication

ho boon suan

17 July 2025, updated 18 July 2025

The following exercise was added to the second edition of Volume 2 of *The Art of Computer Programming* in August 1995:

**Exercise 3.2.1.1–3.** Many computers do not provide the ability to divide a two-word number by a one-word number; they provide only operations on single-word numbers, such as  $himult(x, y) = \lfloor xy/w \rfloor$  and  $lomult(x, y) = xy \mod w$ , when x and y are nonnegative integers less than the word size w. Explain how to evaluate ax mod m in terms of himult and lomult, assuming that  $0 \le a, x < m < w$  and that  $m \perp w$ . You may use precomputed constants that depend on a, m, and w.

Knuth provides the following answer: "Let  $a' = aw \mod m$ , and let m' be such that  $mm' \equiv 1 \pmod{w}$ . Set  $y \leftarrow \operatorname{lomult}(a', x), z \leftarrow \operatorname{himult}(a', x), t \leftarrow \operatorname{lomult}(m', y), u \leftarrow \operatorname{himult}(m, t)$ . Then we have  $mt \equiv a'x \pmod{w}$ , hence a'x - mt = (z - u)w, hence  $ax \equiv z - u \pmod{w}$ ; it follows that  $ax \mod m = z - u + [z < u]m$ ."

I will try to give some motivation for his answer (though ultimately like much of math it involves magic that one just gets used to). We want to find  $ax \mod m$ , but it is costly to divide by m. The only affordable division operation we have is division by w via the himult operation. As such, we try the multiplication-by-one trick, which gives

$$ax \mod m = \left(ax \cdot \frac{w}{w}\right) \mod m = \frac{(aw)x}{w} \mod m = \frac{a'x}{w} \mod m,$$

where  $a' := aw \mod m$ . (To be precise, we are multiplying by the inverse  $w^{-1} \mod m$ , which is justified as  $m \perp w$ .)

Since  $m \perp w$ , we have

$$\frac{a'x}{w} \equiv \frac{a'x - mt}{w} \pmod{m}$$

for any integer *t*. Thus, if we can choose  $0 \le t < w$  such that

$$-m \le \frac{a'x - mt}{w} < m$$
 and  $a'x \equiv mt$  (modulo  $w$ ), (\*)

we would be done, since we would then have

$$ax \mod m = \frac{a'x - mt}{w} + [a'x < mt]m = \left\lfloor \frac{a'x}{w} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{mt}{w} \right\rfloor + [a'x < mt]m.$$

Now a'x = wz + y where  $z \leftarrow \text{himult}(a', x)$  and  $y \leftarrow \text{lomult}(a', x)$ , so  $a'x \equiv y \pmod{w}$ . Thus our choice of t must satisfy  $mt \equiv y \pmod{w}$ , which leads us to set  $t \leftarrow \text{lomult}(m', y)$  where m' is such that  $mm' \equiv 1 \pmod{w}$ . One can then check that (\*) holds; setting  $u \leftarrow \text{himult}(m, t)$ , we conclude that

$$ax \bmod m = z - u + [z < u]m.$$

Here we are actually dividing by w in the rationals rather than multiplying by the inverse  $w^{-1}$  modulo m; this is fine precisely since  $a'x \equiv mt$  (modulo w).

Remarks. The ideas in this exercise lead to Montgomery multiplication, where one works with the Montgomery forms xw mod m of residue classes x mod m instead of working with *x* mod *m*. See Peter L. Montgomery, Mathematics of Computation 44 (1985), 519-521, doi:10.1090/S0025-5718-1985-0777282-X. For an overview of modern algorithms for modular multiplication, see Section 2.4 of Richard P. Brent and Paul Zimmermann, Modern Computer Arithmetic (Cambridge University Press, 2010). A near-final draft is available online at https://members.loria.fr/ PZimmermann/mca/mca-cup-0.5.9.pdf.

Thanks to Way Yan Win for helpful comments on an earlier version of this note.